



### TURBULENT BOUNDARY LAYER CONTROL BY FRACTAL SURFACE

#### V. P. Budaev<sup>1</sup>, I. S. Menshov<sup>2</sup>, M. A. Brutian <sup>3</sup>, A. V. Volkov <sup>3</sup>, A. M. Zhitlukhin<sup>4</sup>, I. Yu. Kudriashov<sup>2</sup>, A.V. Karpov<sup>1</sup>, N. S. Klimov<sup>4</sup>, V. L. Podkovyrov<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NRC «Kurchatov Institute», Moscow, Russia, budaev@mail.ru
 <sup>2</sup> Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics RAS, Moscow, Russia
 <sup>3</sup> TsAGI, Zhukovsky, Moscow Region, Russia
 <sup>4</sup> SRC RF TRINITI, Moscow, Russia



## Katsushika Hokusai The Great Wave off Kanagawa (神奈川沖浪裏 Kanagawa-Oki Nami-Ura)



#### Ivan Aivazovsky

#### "Chaos. Creation of the World"

1841

## **Turbulence control Cascade forcing: approaches**



(1) Forcing on large-scale eddies or narrow range – riblets experiments: local modification of cascade

(2) New approach: forcing in broad range – multiscale forcing on the whole cascade range .

#### ULTRASONICALLY ABSORPTIVE COATING (UAC) FOR HYPERSONIC LAMINAR FLOW CONTROL (NASA)





Hypersonic experiments : roughening of surface, wind tunnel T 326 (ITAM RAS, Novosibirsk) M=5,95 Damping of 500 kHz mode

Рис. 1. Пористые покрытия: а — металлический фетр; б — перфорированный лист



Previous experiments in the world: rough coatings are helpful for the flow control

Рис. 2. Схема модели

M. P. Schultz and K. A. Flack



density function of roughness surface elevations.

# Experiments with abrasive surface : effect on TBL

Previous experiments: rough abrasive surface forces changing of the TBL

Rough-wall turbulent boundary layer



# Our new approach to the turbulent flow control

• To use in TBL the **fractal surface of the specific granularity**.



• The **fractal surfaces of roughness** from ~0.5 to ~200 micrometers is formed by the plasmasurface interaction in fusion device QSPA-T. Budaev, Physica A 382 (2007) 359 JETP 2007,104,629

M. Brutyan, V. Budaev, I. Menshov, A. Volkov e a., TsAGI Science Journal, 2013, 4, 16.

#### Quasistationary plasma accelerator – QSPA, TRINITI



Fractal surface manufacturing by high temperature plasma treatment of material

#### **QSPA plasma parameters:**

- Heat load
- Pulse duration
- Plasma jet diameter
- Ion impact energy
- Electron temperature
- Plasma density

- $0.5 \div 5 \text{ MJ/m}^2$
- 0.6 ms
- 6 cm
  - $0.1 \div 1.0 \text{ keV}$
  - < 10 eV
    - $10^{22} \div 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-3}$

#### Stainless steel after treatment by high temperature plasma Specific statistics of rough relief- bursty height's







#### Fractal surface – fower spectrum rather not flat one

# Surface roughness from ~500 nanometers up to ~0,2 mm *after treatment by plasma*



**Roughness level is appropriative for the flow control and vortices damping** 

#### Statistical self-similarity: fractal surface after plasma treatment

**Dilatational symmetry (self-similarity across scales)** probability function (PDF) of profile hights:

$$x \rightarrow ax$$
:  $P(x) = a^{-H}P(ax), a > 0$ 





#### **Surface obeys specific non-Gaussian statistics of hights**

## **Traditional roughness - trivial stochastic relief Abrasive surface :** PDF is close to Gaussian law



### Statistical property of the roughness can be changed by different plasma treatment. Appropriative for drag reduction



## **Plasma flow impact on the surface growth** in a process of film deposition

Surface growth is very sensitive to fluctuations (even small) in deposited flow



Turbulent flow from plasma deposited with non-Gaussian statistics



**Fractal growth with** bursty shape of reliefspecific property of high temperature plasma

Fractal growth: competition of spikes growth and relaxation Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) Eq.

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \eta(\vec{x}, t) + \Psi(h, \nabla h, \nabla^2 h, ...)$$
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \eta(\vec{x}, t) + \nu \nabla^2 h + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\nabla h)^2$$

150-

W¥W 100ч

2000

4000

v. MKM

6000

8000

10000

Noise  $\eta(x,t) \implies h(x, t)$  – self-similarity (fractality) of hights —

roughness~  $x^{\alpha} \Phi(t/x^{\alpha/\beta})$ 

Fractal surface of metal model after plasma treatment Probability distribution function of relief heights : non-Gaussian



Experiments in wind tunnel T-36I Comparative study of different roughness: smooth, trivial roughness, fractal roughness

- Model №1, fractal roughness, plate after high-temperature treatment in QSPA by heat load 1.5 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>
- Model №2, fractal roughness, plate after high-temperature treatment in QSPA by 1 shot, heat load 1 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>
- Model №3, fractal roughness, plate after high-temperature treatment in QSPA by 4 shots, heat load 1 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>
- Glass model, smooth surface
- Abrasive surface, plates PS11 C industrial KLINGSPOR, CSi-P120 и P280 grains of 120 µм
- Stainless steel plate, industrial rolling, original surface before plasma treatment

#### Wind tunnel T-36I in Zhukovsky Institute (TsAGI-ЦАГИ ), linear flow type

length 2600 mm, size 500x350 mm.

flow 5 - 50 m/s (Re<sub>1</sub> from ~0.2\*10<sup>6</sup> to ~ 4\*10<sup>6</sup>), turbulence level 0.06 %.



## **Fractal model** inside the wind tunnel T-36I





 $oldsymbol{U}$ 

Model: fractal surface plate of  $160 \times 160 \mbox{ mm}$  , on the bottom bound of the flow .

Turbulent flow.

Averaged flow velocity U=10,20,30 m/s,  $\text{Re}_l = 5 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^6$ . Measurements : hot wire, drag coefficient

#### **Turbulent boundary layer thickness in T-36I**

x from starting	10 m/s	20 m/s	30 m/s
point			
1515 mm	25.75 mm	24.25 mm	23.34 mm
5 mm for the			
model			
1685 mm	27.75 mm	25.50 mm	25.00 mm
5 mm after the			
model			
2280 мм	38.24 mm	33.34 mm	32.60 mm
600 mm after			
the model			

## velocity <u'> vs. Y, distance to plate



**Fractal surface impact on the TBL velocity profile** 

## Fourier spectrum: reconstruction of TBL by effect of fractal surface



# TBL velocity spectrum and fractal surface relief spectrum are identical – power laws



Fractal surface effect on TBL due to the similarity of it's spectrum with flow spectrum . Abrasive - does not!

Statistics of TBL velocity and fractal surface relief are identical: effective interaction and dumping of drag



Fractal surface affects due to the similarity of it's statistics with flow spectrum. Abrasive - does not!

#### Drug C<sub>f</sub>

$$F = \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2 C_f A$$

A- area,  $\rho$  - density , *u* flow velocity. For smooth C<sub>f</sub> <<1, For rough C<sub>f</sub> =>1

> Prandtl law for smooth plate in turbulent flow :  $C_f = 0.074 (\text{Re}_l)^{-1/5}$ for 510<sup>5</sup> < Re<sub>l</sub> < 10<sup>7</sup>.

## Drug C<sub>f</sub> for smooth, abrasive and fractal surface



M. Brutyan, V. Budaev, A. Volkov, I. Menshov e a., TsAGI Science Journal, 2013, 4, 16.

### Experiments in T-36I Smooth glass, drag C<sub>f</sub>~ Re<sup>-1/5</sup>



## Experiments in T-36I Drag: Fractal surface M3



**Fractal surface** is close to theoretical prediction for smooth plate C<sub>f</sub>~ Re<sup>-1/5</sup>

## Experiments in T-36I Abrasive surface: drag $C_f \sim Re^{-0.103}$



Scaling for abrasive is far from scaling for fractal surface  $C_f$ 



scaling index v for fractal surfaces is close to smooth plate C<sub>f</sub>~ Re<sup>-1/5</sup>

# Fractal surface leads to the change of intermittency in TBL

### Intermittency in turbulent boundary layer (TBL) Experiments in T-36I



## **Intermittency and multifractality of TBL Scale invariance: a feature of turbulence**

Navier-Stokes equations are invariant with respect to the scale transformation:

$$t, \vec{r}, \vec{u} \to \lambda^{1+h} t, \lambda \vec{r}, \lambda^{-h} \vec{u}$$
$$\lambda^{-2h-1} \partial_t \vec{u} + \lambda^{-2h-1} \left[ (\vec{u} \nabla) \vec{u} + \rho^{-1} \nabla p \right] = \nu \lambda^{-h-2} \Delta \vec{u}$$



#### **Approximation of experimental PDF by functions : very difficult problem**

Alternative is to describe a non-homogeneity vs. scale: moments of PDF (structure functions) is a mean to investigate turbulence:

$$S_q(\tau) = \left\langle (X(t) - X(t + \tau))^q \right\rangle$$

 $\langle ... \rangle$  - ensemble averaging. X(r,t) – experimental signal:  $\upsilon$ , n, B For a Gaussian ensemble  $S_q(\tau) \sim \tau^{q/3}$  (Kolmogorov's) Intermittency is quantified by  $S_q(\tau) \sim \tau^{\zeta(q)}$  (non-Gaussian) From symmetry of Navier-Stokes eqs. exact result :  $\zeta(3)=1$ 

# Structure function of fractional Brownian motion – simulated random signal with Gaussian PDF



## Structure function of experimental data - intermittency $S_q(\tau) = \langle (X(t) - X(t + \tau))^q \rangle$ $S_q(\tau) \sim \tau^{\zeta(q)} S_q(\tau) = S_3(\tau)^{\zeta_q/\zeta_3}$



**Intermittency - nonlinear dependence**  $\zeta(q)$ 

#### Universality of intermittency in neutral fluids and plasma TBLs





## Fractal surface effect on TBL: Change of structure function scaling





Experimental observations are in agreement with the numerical simulation of the TBL over the fractal surface. Numerical simulation based on the 3D Reynolds equations and the *Spalart–Allmaras model* solved by the finite volume method have **shown a reduction of the**  $c_f$  over the fractal surface as compared with no reduction for the abrasive surface (with the Gaussian statistics of heights).

## SUMMARY

#### **Plans:**

**Cone with fractal surface** will be tested in hypersonic flow

- Cylinder model with fractal surface will be investigated in wind tunnel
- The **numerical simulation** of the TBL over the fractal surface

#### **Problems:**

- PIV measurements are needed
  - A-structure dynamics has to be investigated (effect of fractal surface on large-scale structures)

## Thank you!

## Plasma edge: Extended Self-Similarity Generalized Scale Invariance on a scale >1 ms



## PDF



#### D(h) in TBL





#### Сетка в трёх измерениях и граничные условия

Задаваемые в расчетах граничные условия : Зелёному цвету соответствует граничное условие типа "поток на бесконечности", синему - "выход" (экстраполяция нулевого порядка), жёлтому - "плоскость симметрии/стенка с проскальзыванием", а красному - "стенка с прилипанием". При расчетах с шероховатой вставкой ей соответствует область от I=76 до I=103 включительно и от 87 до 114 ячейки . Таким образом, начало шероховатой вставки располагается на 45° или на 66°, ширина её составляет 45°. Настраиваемыми в программе параметрами, определяющими газодинамические величины в набегающем потоке являются число Маха и Re.



Коэффициент трения  $C_f$  от угловой переменной цилиндра . Ширина фрактальной шероховатой вставки по углу 45°, середина - при положении вставки на 45° и 66°. М=0,2, Re=5x10<sup>5</sup>, в зонах над вставкой  $C_f$  условно показан равным 0, пунктир – гладкий цилиндр.





# Spectrum in TBL and surface relief are identical



## non-Gaussian statistics of edge turbulence : can deposit porous cauliflower-type surface



Budaev e a, Physica A, 2007



Fig.3. Globular film from ?-10vacuum chamber  $\times 1000$ .

Random walks, H=0.5 classic Brownian diffusion

#### Comparison: neutral fluids and plasma



#### Plasma edge in tokamak





Aggregation–Fragmentation Processes and Wave Kinetics: formal correspondence between the isotropic 5wave kinetic equation and the rate equations for a non-linear fragmentation–aggregation process



C. Connaughton, R. Rajesh, and O. Zaboronski, PRL (2005)

When sources and sinks of energy, widely separated in frequency, are added to the wave kinetic equation, it can be shown to have exact stationary solutions corresponding to a cascade of energy through frequency space from the source to the

sink. The cascade solution is known as the Kolmogorov-Zakharov (K-Z)

The cluster size distribution of a statistically homogeneous system evolves according to the Smoluchowski coagulation equation:  $m_1+m_2 \rightarrow m$ 

$$\frac{\partial N_m(t)}{\partial t} = \int_0^\infty dm_1 dm_2 K(m_1, m_2) N_{m_1} N_{m_2} \delta(m - m_1 - m_2) - 2 \int_0^\infty dm_1 dm_2 K(m, m_1) N_m N_{m_1} \delta(m_2 - m - m_1) + J \delta(m - m_0)$$

$$\Delta M_{j} \longrightarrow \Delta M_{k} \longrightarrow \Delta M_{j} + \Delta M_{k}$$

$$m_{j} \longrightarrow m_{k} \longrightarrow m_{i}$$

- Collision kernel, Mean Field Approximation :
- Self-similar solutions:
- Kolmogorov-Zakharov

spectrum N(m)=  $C m^{-x_{K}}$   $x_{K} = \frac{3+6}{2}$ 

$$K(hm_1, hm_2, hm) = h^{\xi}K(m_1, m_2, m)$$
  
 $K(m_1, m_2, m) \sim m_1^{\mu}m_2^{\nu}$   
 $\mu + \nu = \zeta$ .

Эксперимент в АДТ Т-36И [1]



Значительное влияние на характеристики ТПС вниз по потоку на масштабах более 5-6 размеров пластины

#### Эксперимент в АДТ Т-36И [1]

Статистические свойства ТПС - параметры логпуассоновского скейлинга  $\beta$  и  $\Delta$  [1] вдоль потока после фрактальной пластины; y=0.4 мм z=-19 мм, U=20 м/с.



Значительное влияние на характеристики ТПС вниз по потоку на масштабах более 5-6 размеров пластины

#### Эксперимент в АДТ Т-36И [1]



Кросскорреляционное время между пульсациями скорости разделенными 2 мм по высоте в зависимости от высоты у ТПС: 5 мм до (о) и 5 мм после (+) фрактальной пластины , U=10 м/с

Наблюдается увеличение времени поперечных корреляций

## **Spectrum change in TBL**



Low-frequency range suppressed , high-frequency range growth : coherent eddies destroyed

## Кросс-корреляции над плоской моделью Эксперимент в АДТ Т-36И [1]



Кросс-корреляции между пульсациями скорости при у=0,2 мм и при у=2,2мм, х=805 мм. Скорость потока U= 10 м/с.



Коэффициент кросс-корреляции в зависимости от высоты у